

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,198	CLINCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine Mitchell	3677	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Katherine Mitchell. (3)_____.

(2) James OMalley. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: last 2 weeks Sept.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: DE3445514, Petrus Parkin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called to propose an examiner's amendment in view of newly found art, including DE3445514, Petrus. Applicant faxed in draft of proposed amended claims, but added numerous independent claims with unsearched limitations. Examiner was unable to allow the claims in an examiner's amendment without completely new search, thus the proposed examiner's amendment of the pending claims was cancelled and a new rejection has been provided. .